BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

In the Matter of

LE ROI A. BAEZ, M.D.

Holder of License No. **30154**For the Practice of Allopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona.

Case No. MD-07-0126A

INTERIM FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER FOR SUMMARY SUSPENSION OF LICENSE

INTRODUCTION

The above-captioned matter came on for discussion before the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") on March 26, 2007. After reviewing relevant information and deliberating, the Board considered proceedings for a summary action against the license of Le Roi A. Baez, M.D. ("Respondent"). Having considered the information in the matter and being fully advised, the Board enters the following Interim Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Summary Suspension of License, pending formal hearing or other Board action. A.R.S. § 32-1451(D).

INTERIM FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for licensing and regulating the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 30154 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 3. The Board initiated case number MD-07-0126A received a complaint against Le Roi A. Baez, M.D. ("Respondent") alleging he made inappropriate sexual advances by forcibly kissing a female patient ("AC") on the mouth and groping her breasts. AC reported the encounter to the Tucson Police Department and returned the next day to show them the bruise on her arm she obtained from her encounter with Respondent. The police department took photographs of the bruise.

- 4. AC, an employee of a hospital where Respondent treats his patients, was referred to Respondent approximately two years ago and he performed an endoscopy. Thereafter she saw Respondent for follow-up visits at his office. On one occasion, Respondent contacted AC on her cell phone to give her the results of a gallbladder scan and told her he was calling from his cell phone, gave her the number, and told her she could call him at any time at that number. AC used that number twice once when she went to the emergency department and prior to seeing him on March 1 when she called Respondent when she was driving home from work at about 4:30 and felt abdominal pain. Respondent instructed her to come to his office.
- 5. When AC arrived at Respondent's office at 4:45 the office was locked. Respondent unlocked the door and relocked it when they entered. Respondent's staff had left for the day. Respondent brought AC into his office where he sat behind his desk and she sat on the couch explaining her symptoms. Respondent told her to stand and approached to examine her. AC remained clothed. AC informed Respondent her primary care physician thought she might require a colonoscopy and asked Respondent to refer her to a physician for a colonoscopy because she was uncomfortable having Respondent perform the test since they had become acquainted through AC's employment at the hospital.
- 6. AC inquired if she could have samples of Prevacid for relief. Respondent told AC he would have to check the medication closet and instructed her to follow him down a hallway. AC followed and observed Respondent through a crack in the door putting medication packets into a bag. AC reported that as she was retrieving the samples from Respondent he forcibly pushed her against the wall with his hands and body; she could feel his groin pressed against her right leg; he grabbed her hair to force her head back and kissed her hard on the mouth and bit her bottom lip; and tried to remove her shirt, and grabbed her breasts. AC reported when Respondent stepped back and attempted to approach again, AC told him what he was doing was wrong and Respondent asked "[w]hy not baby?" and attempted to verbally coerce her, but she informed him

she had to leave and walked down the hallway toward the front door where Respondent took a deep breath and finally unlocked the door. AC reported that as she left, Respondent told her he would refer her to someone for the colonoscopy and pay for it.

- 7. AC reported that she occasionally saw Respondent when she was on duty at the nurses' station and he helped her with her biology home work on one occasion. AC reported Respondent had always been cordial, had not previously made any advances, and she was taken completely by surprise by his conduct at the March 1 visit.
- 8. On March 2, 2007 AC informed her supervisor at the hospital and they went to Human Resources for guidance. AC was referred to the Quality Resource Manager who assisted her with contacting the police and the Board.
- 9. Respondent was interviewed by Board Staff on March 8. Respondent admitted to seeing AC after-hours on March 1, but denied touching or kissing her in a sexual manner during the visit. Respondent stated he merely provided samples of Prevacid and discussed referring her to another physician for the colonoscopy. Respondent stated an independent contractor ("DT") who handles his billing was in the office at the time of AC's visit. Board Staff interview DT and she indicated she heard Respondent's voice only once and he appeared to be dictating.
- 10. Respondent was ordered to undergo an evaluation at the Sexual Recovery Institute ("SRI"). Respondent complied with the Order. On March 23, 2007 SRI contacted Board Staff and reported that, based on the interviews and testing they conducted, Respondent was not safe to return to work. SRI reported Respondent had called them on the 23rd to schedule a 2:30 p.m. phone call wherein he said he would tell SRI what had "really happened." Shortly thereafter, Respondent called and canceled that appointment.
- 11. The facts as presented demonstrate that the public health, safety or welfare imperatively requires emergency action.

INTERIM CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter hereof and over Respondent, holder of License No. 30154 for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described above constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(z) ("[e]ngaging in sexual conduct with a current patient or with a former patient within six months after the last medical consultation unless the patient was the licensee's spouse at the time of the contact or, immediately preceding the physician-patient relationship, was in a dating or engagement relationship with the licensee, for purposes of this subdivision, "Sexual Conduct" includes: (i) engaging in or soliciting sexual relationships, whether consensual or nonconsensual (ii) making sexual advances, requesting sexual favors or engaging in other verbal conduct or physical conduct of a sexual nature and (iii) intentionally viewing a completely or partially disrobed patient in the course of treatment if the viewing is not related to patient diagnosis or treatment under current practice standards.").
- 3. Based on the foregoing Interim Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the public health, safety or welfare imperatively requires emergency action. A.R.S. § 32-1451(D).

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Interim Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, set forth above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Respondent's license to practice allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona, License No. 30154, is summarily suspended pending a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge from the Office of Administrative Hearings.
- 2. The Interim Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law constitute written notice to Respondent of the charges of unprofessional conduct made by the Board against him.

ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD

Timothy C. Miller, J.D.

Executive Director

a mie a fine